

**SSI Milwaukee County Scope of Services and Network Adequacy Workgroup**  
**September 8, 2004 Meeting Summary**  
**Co-Chairs: Sean Gartley & Mary Laughlin**

**Organizations Represented:**

Consumer  
iCare  
iCare  
iCare  
Independence First  
Evercare, United Health Group  
Froedtert Hospital  
Managed Health Services  
Managed Health Services  
Milwaukee County  
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health Division  
Milwaukee County Behavioral Health  
Milwaukee County Department on Aging  
Milwaukee Mental Health Association  
NAMI  
Wisconsin Community Mental Health

**Representatives:**

Catherine Kunze  
Pat Jerominski  
Michael Sebastian  
Colleen Dimas  
Denise Johnson  
Jan Wuorenma  
Maureen McNally  
Jennifer Winter  
Heidi Evans  
Mike Kreuser  
Paul Radomski  
John Pretsby  
Chester Kuzminski  
Julie Rothwell  
Dee Sitzberger  
David Dropkin

1. Mary Laughlin, Co-Chair of the Workgroup, called the meeting to order at 10:15 a.m.
2. Sean Gartley, Co-Chair of the Workgroup, outlined the purpose and scope of the Workgroup, expected recommendations, and upcoming meeting dates.
3. Mr. Gartley outlined the issue to be considered: options for inclusion of county Medicaid reimbursable health services into SSI Managed Care in Milwaukee County. The Workgroup received an issue paper providing background and policy options.
4. Mary Laughlin detailed the policy options outlined in the issue paper and led a discussion of the issue. Policy options included:
  - **Option 1:** Allow persons receiving Targeted Case Management (TCM) or Crisis Intervention Services (CIS) to enroll in MCOs. Persons receiving these county matched mental health services would be enrolled in an MCO and would receive TCM and CIS on a fee-for-service basis. Persons receiving Community Support Program (CSP) services would not be eligible to enroll.
  - **Option 2:** Allow persons receiving TCM or CIS to enroll in a MCO and require that MCOs contract with counties for TCM and CIS services. Persons receiving CSP services would not be eligible to enroll.

The federal share of Medicaid for these services would be included in the MCO capitation rate. The MCO would be required to obtain county approval for contracted

providers for these services and would reimburse counties at least the federal portion of the reimbursement rate. Counties would continue to provide the matching funds.

- **Option 3:** Allow persons receiving CSP to enroll in an MCO.

The federal share of Medicaid for these services would be included in the MCO capitation rate. The MCO would be required to obtain county approval for contracted providers of these services and would reimburse counties at least the federal portion of the reimbursement rate. Counties would continue to provide the matching funds.

For TCM and CIS, either Option 1 (fee-for-service) or Option 2 (mandated MCO/county contracts) could be implemented.

- **Option 4** (added by the Workgroup): Persons receiving TCM, CIS or CSP would be eligible to enroll in an MCO. All TCM, CIS or CSP services would be billed to Medicaid fee-for-service.
5. The Workgroup discussed each possible policy option, focusing primarily on funding and coordination of service concerns.
  6. The Workgroup recommended Option 1 with some caveats. The Workgroup recommendation is attached to this document.
  7. The Workgroup adjourned and joined the Advisory Committee at 12:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Sean Gartley  
Co-Chair